# Construction of Substituted Benzenes via Pd-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling/Cyclization Reaction of Vinyl Halides and Terminal Alkynes

Meihua Xie,\* Shengke Wang, Jun Wang, Kuang Fang, Changqing Liu, Chao Zha, and Jing Jia

Key Laborator[y o](#page-5-0)f Functional Molecular Solids, Ministry of Education, Anhui Laboratory of Molecule-Based Materials, College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241000, China

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [A tandem S](#page-5-0)onogashira coupling/cyclization/ aromatization sequence of  $β$ -halo vinyl sulfones/ketones with terminal alkynes has been developed for the construction of benzene rings. Polysubstituted functionalized benzenes containing a sulfonyl or an acyl group could be obtained in up to 95% yield.



# **ENTRODUCTION**

Substituted benzenes are the core structural units of many functional materials and natural products and/or synthetic compounds displaying significant biological and pharmaceutical activities.<sup>1</sup> They are also valuable synthetic building blocks in organic chemistry.<sup>2</sup> For example, sulfonyl-substituted benzenes exhibit i[n](#page-5-0)hibitory activities against various enzymes such as sodium proton e[xc](#page-5-0)hanger 1, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), and HIV-1 reverse transcriptase and are valuable synthetic targets due to their versatile reactivity and coordinating properties (Figure 1). $3$ 



Figure 1. Examples of substituted benzenes.

Traditionally, polysubstituted benzenes are prepared by stepwise introduction of functional groups into simple benzenoid systems through various functionalization reactions.<sup>4</sup> Transition-metal-catalyzed tandem cyclization reactions are particularly attractive in that they enable facile access t[o](#page-5-0) substituted benzenes from simple acyclic precursors and thus have received extensive research efforts. $5$  Despite the great progress achieved in this field, most reported methods, more or less, suffer from several drawbacks such as [p](#page-5-0)oor regioselectivity, multistep manipulations, and unsatisfactory yields, which may limit their practical applications. Therefore, the development of new, simple, and efficient methods for constructing multisubstituted benzenes in a regioselective way is still highly desirable and remains a quite challenging task.<sup>6</sup>

Vinyl halides are versatile building blocks in organic synthesis with numerous applications in transition-metal[-c](#page-5-0)atalyzed crosscoupling reactions, such as palladium-catalyzed Sonogashira, Stille, and Suzuki coupling reactions.<sup>7</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, the construction of substituted benzene rings via intermolecular reaction of haloalkenes and alkynes is rare.<sup>8</sup> On the other hand, tandem reactions, in which multistep transformations are combined into one synthetic operatio[n,](#page-5-0) have received much attention for their efficiency and environmental benignity in the construction of complex molecular skeletons.<sup>9</sup> Recently, we reported a method for the synthesis of  $\beta$ -halo vinyl sulfones/ketones by haloallylation of alkynes.<sup>10</sup> In order [to](#page-5-0) investigate the potential of the resulting products in organic synthesis, we studied the Pd-catalyzed reactio[n o](#page-5-0)f  $β$ -halo vinyl sulfones/ketones and terminal alkynes. Interestingly, we observed the formation of polysubstituted benzenes via a tandem Sonogashira coupling/cyclization/ aromatization sequence instead of the expected simple Sonogashira coupling products. Herein, we report the details of this finding.

# ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reaction of  $(E)$ -1-chloro-1-phenyl-2- $(p$ -tolylsulfonyl)penta-1,4-diene (1a) with phenylacetylene (2a) was chosen as the model reaction for initial studies. The results are summarized in Table 1. First, the reaction was performed under standard Sonogashira reaction conditions, that is, treating 1a with 1.6 [equiv of](#page-1-0) phenylacetylene in the presence of 2.5 mol % of  $Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , 2.5 mol % of CuI, and 1 mL of Et<sub>3</sub>N in 2 mL of THF, with the expectation to obtain a Sonogashira coupling product. Unexpectedly, 2-benzyl-6-(p-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3a) was isolated instead in 30% yield with the recovery of 1a in 63% yield when the reaction mixture was stirred at 65 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ for 18 h (Table 1, entry 1). This interesting result prompted us to do further investigation on the formation of 3a. As shown in Table 1, [among](#page-1-0) the tested solvents such as THF, toluene, DMSO, and DMF, DMF was the best choice (Table 1, entries 1−[4\), wi](#page-1-0)th which the reaction proceeded to completion within 1 h to give product 3a in 84% yield (Table 1, e[ntry 4\). T](#page-1-0)he use

Received: February 17, 2016 Published: March 25, 2016

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for the Palladium-Catalyzed Reaction of β-Chloro Vinyl Sulfones (1a) with Phenylacetylene  $(2a)^a$ 



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (0.5 mmol), 2a (0.8 mmol) catalyzed by 2.5 mol % of catalyst in 3 mL of a mixture of  $Et_3N/solvent$  (v/v  $1/2$ ) under argon atmosphere.  $b$ Isolated yield.  $\in$ No Et<sub>3</sub>N was added.  $\frac{d}{d}$ With 5 mol % of catalyst. <sup>e</sup> With 1 mol % of catalyst.

of  $Pd(OAc)_2$  as catalyst improved the yield further to 90% even in the absence of the cocatalyst CuI (Table 1, entries 5 and 6). While the use of  $Pd(PhCN)_2Cl_2$  and  $PdCl_2$  as catalyst resulted in decreased yields (Table 1, entries 7 and 8),  $Ni(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ showed no catalytic activity for the reaction (Table 1, entry 9). When the reaction temperature was decreased to 90 °C, the yield of 3a dramatically decreased to 50% (Table 1, entry 10). It was observed that  $Et_3N$  was necessary for this reaction to work (Table 1, entry 11). Increasing the catalyst loading amount to 5 mol % failed to improve the yield, while reducing it to 1 mol % led to a lower yield (Table 1, entries 12 and 13). Thus,  $Pd(OAc)$ <sub>2</sub> (2.5 mol %) and 1 mL of Et<sub>3</sub>N in 2 mL of DMF at 110 °C were defined as the optimal reaction conditions for the scope study.

Under the optimized reaction conditions, the reaction of differently substituted  $\beta$ -halo vinyl sulfones and terminal alkynes was investigated for the construction of substituted benzene rings. The reactions worked well with differently substituted  $\beta$ -chloro vinyl sulfones 1, and R<sup>1</sup> can be phenyl, ptolyl, or p-fluorophenyl groups. Terminal alkynes 2 can be aromatic or alkyl alkynes, and  $R^2$  can be phenyl, p-tolyl, pfluorophenyl, n-pentyl, cyclopropyl, or tert-butyl. Products 3 were obtained in yields of 78−95%. It should be pointed out that in the case of  $R<sup>1</sup>$  being an alkyl group, such as *n*-pentyl or cyclopropyl, the use of  $\beta$ -bromo vinyl sulfone is necessary to give moderate yields (Table 2, entries 14 and 15). Notably, substituted benzenes containing a long-chain alkyl group (such as n-hexyl or n-pentyl) at different positions (Table 2, entries 4 and 14) and a tetrasubs[tituted](#page-2-0) [b](#page-2-0)enzene (Table 2, entry 7) could all be obtained in good yields by this method[. The str](#page-2-0)ucture of compound 3a was confirmed by X-ray c[rystallog](#page-2-0)raphic analysis (see Supporting Information).

However, when the reaction was extended to  $\beta$ -chloro vinyl keto[nes, no reaction occurred](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.6b00308/suppl_file/jo6b00308_si_001.pdf) and β-chloro vinyl ketones were recovered completely. The result was assumed to be due to the

lower reactivity of  $\beta$ -chloro vinyl ketones for the Sonogashira coupling step. Gratifyingly, acyl-substituted polysubstituted benzenes were obtained in moderate to good yields when the more reactive  $\beta$ -bromo vinyl ketones 4 were subjected to the reaction with terminal alkynes under the otherwise similar reaction conditions. The results are summarized in Table 3. The reaction showed a good scope for different vinyl ketones 4 with exclusive regioselectivity, in which the  $R<sup>1</sup>$  substit[uent can](#page-3-0) be a phenyl or p-tolyl group, and the  $R^2$  can be a p-tolyl, npropyl, p-chlorophenyl, or p-nitrophenyl group. Terminal alkynes were well-tolerated in the reaction, and the  $R<sup>3</sup>$  group can be a phenyl, p-tolyl, p-fluorophenyl, or cyclopropyl group. However, when  $R^1$  is an *n*-pentyl group, an unidentifiable complex mixture was obtained.

To investigate the reaction mechanism, some control experiments were conducted (Scheme 1). When the reaction of 1a with phenylacetylene 2a under the optimized conditions was quenched after 2 min, the [Sonogashir](#page-3-0)a coupling product 6a was obtained in 56% yield along with the formation of 3a in 28% yield (Scheme 1, eq 1). Subsequent treatment of 6a in Et<sub>3</sub>N/DMF in the presence of 2.5 mol % of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> at 110 °C afforded [the cycliza](#page-3-0)tion product 3a in 95% yield (Scheme 1, eq 2), supporting the role of 6a as an intermediate in the tandem reaction. Notably, the cyclization of the isolated 6a also took place in the absence of the Pd catalyst, but t[he](#page-3-0) [reaction](#page-3-0) proceeded much slower to provide 63% yield of 3a after 24 h (Scheme 1, eq 2), suggesting that the presence of the Pd catalyst facilitated the cyclization step.

[On the b](#page-3-0)asis of these experimental results, a mechanism for the cyclization step was proposed as shown in Scheme 2. First, [1,5]-H migration of the Sonogashira coupling product 6 afforded the intermediate Int-1, which was [followed b](#page-3-0)y  $6\pi$ electrocyclization to generate the intermediate Int-2. It is assumed that  $Pd(OAc)$ , might act as a Lewis acid to promote this electrocyclization process.<sup>11</sup> Finally, aromatization of the intermediate Int-2 via [1,7]-H migration afforded product 3.

#### ■ CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed a one-pot tandem reaction for the construction of polysubstituted benzenes from an intermolecular reaction of vinyl chlorides/bromides and terminal alkynes, involving a sequential Sonogashira coupling, cyclization, and aromatization reaction. The resulting polysubstituted benzenes containing versatile sulfonyl or carbonyl functionality would be highly useful intermediates for organic synthesis.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Synthesis of Polysubstituted Benzenes by Palladium-Catalyzed Tandem Reaction of Vinyl Halides with Terminal Alkynes: A Representative Procedure. A Schlenk flask equipped with a condenser was charged with 0.5 mmol (166 mg) of  $(E)$ -1chloro-1-phenyl-2-(p-tolylsulfonyl)penta-1,4-diene (1a), 0.8 mmol (0.09 mL) of phenylacetylene (2a), 0.013 mmol (2.9 mg) of  $Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>$ , and 3 mL of Et<sub>3</sub>N/DMF (v/v 1/2) under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 0.3 h (monitored by TLC until the full consumption of 1a). The reaction was then quenched with saturated NaCl and extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. After filtration and removal of the solvent in vacuo, the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether  $= 1/20$ ) to give product 3a.

2-Benzyl-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3a): White solid; mp 133− 134 °C; yield 91% (181 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\bar{\delta}$  = 8.35

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 2. Synthesis of Sulfonyl-Substituted Benzenes 3 from  $\beta$ -Halo Vinyl Sulfones 1 and Terminal Alkynes 2<sup>a</sup>

 $SO<sub>2</sub>$ Tol



a<br>Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (0.8 mmol), 2.5 mol % of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> in 3 mL of a mixture of Et<sub>3</sub>N/DMF (v/v 1/2) at 110 °C under argon atmosphere. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

(d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.52−7.41 (m, 2H), 7.25−7.08 (m, 8H), 7.02− 6.99 (m, 2H), 6.81 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.56  $(s, 2H)$ , 2.35  $(s, 3H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta = 142.2$ , 141.2, 140.0, 139.5, 139.0, 137.4, 134.4, 133.9, 129.5, 128.0, 127.8, 127.3, 126.7, 126.6, 126.4, 126.3, 125.8, 125.1, 38.1, 20.5; IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1597, 1492, 1454, 1315, 1161, 1128, 1082; HRMS m/z (ESI) calcd for  $C_{26}H_{23}O_2S$  (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 399.1419, found 399.1413.

2-(4-Methylbenzyl)-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3b): White solid; mp 121−122 °C; yield 95% (196 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ = 8.33 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.50−7.39 (m, 2H), 7.27−7.21 (m, 2H), 7.14−7.08 (m, 4H), 6.99 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 3H), 6.72 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 4H), 3.51 (s, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 142.2, 141.5, 139.9, 139.4, 137.4, 135.9, 134.6, 134.5, 133.8, 129.5, 128.0, 127.7, 127.4, 126.7, 126.5, 126.4, 126.3, 125.7, 37.5, 20.5, 20.0;

IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) 1676, 1597, 1512, 1450, 1303, 1159, 1130; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 413.1575, found 413.1570.

2-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3c): White solid; mp 137−138 °C; yield 82% (171 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  $= 8.36$  (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.13−7.07 (m, 4H), 7.02−6.99 (m, 2H), 6.84 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.75−6.65 (m, 4H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 160.3 (d, J = 242.9 Hz), 142.3, 141.0, 140.0, 139.6, 137.2, 134.6 (d, J = 2.4 Hz), 134.2, 133.9, 129.4, 129.1 (d, J = 7.8 Hz), 128.0, 126.7, 126.6, 126.4, 126.3, 125.9, 114.0 (d, J = 21.1 Hz), 37.3, 20.5; IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) 1597, 1508, 1435, 1292, 1215, 1153, 1128; HRMS m/z (ESI) calcd for  $C_{26}H_{22}FO_2S$   $(M + H)^+$  417.1325, found 417.1319.

2-Hexyl-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3d): Yellow oil; yield 71% (139 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  = 8.31–8.28 (m, 1H),

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

 $a^a$ Reaction conditions: 4 (0.5 mmol), 2 (0.8 mmol), 2.5 mol % of  $Pd(OAc)_2$  in 3 mL of a mixture of Et<sub>3</sub>N/DMF (v/v 1/2) at 110 °C under argon atmosphere. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

7.51−7.47 (m, 2H), 7.28−7.23 (m, 1H), 7.16−7.07 (m, 4H), 7.00 (d, J  $= 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.16 (t, J = 7.8) Hz, 2H), 1.34−1.23 (m, 3H), 1.16−1.09 (m, 2H), 1.05−1.04 (m, 3H), 0.77 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz)  $\delta$  = 144.5, 143.5, 140.9, 140.6, 138.8, 136.0, 134.5, 130.9, 129.4, 128.0, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 126.6, 33.4, 31.7, 31.4, 29.3, 22.7, 21.9, 14.3; IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) 1683, 1653, 1558, 1316, 1158, 1134; HRMS m/z (ESI) calcd for  $C_{25}H_{29}O_{2}S \ (M + H)^+$  393.1888, found 393.1887.

2-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3e): Yellow solid; mp 82−83 °C; yield 76% (138 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 8.33 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, Scheme 1. Mechanistic Studies<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Conditions A: Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (2 mol %), Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 110 °C, 15 min; yield 95%. Conditions B:  $Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>$  (2 mol %), DMF, 110 °C, 20 min; yield 93%. Conditions C: DMF, 110 °C, 24 h; yield 63%.

Scheme 2. Plausible Reaction Mechanism for the Cyclization Step



J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.29−7.24 (m, 1H), 7.16−7.07 (m, 4H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.07 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 0.73−0.64 (m, 1H), 0.39 (q, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), −0.05 (q, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>CNMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 143.4, 143.2, 140.5, 140.1, 138.4, 135.7, 133.8, 130.5, 129.0, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3, 126.4, 37.5, 21.6, 11.1, 4.9; IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) 1681, 1650, 1594, 1311, 1159, 1081; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 363.1419, found 363.1413.

2-Neopentyl-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3f): Yellow liquid; yield 72% (136 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 8.35 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.55−7.45 (m, 2H), 7.25−7.21 (m, 1H), 7.12−6.95 (m, 6H), 6.77 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.32(s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 2H), 0.68 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 143.0, 141.6, 141.0, 140.6, 138.3, 136.2, 135.6, 132.0, 128.9, 127.4, 127.2, 126.8, 126.7, 126.5, 44.8, 32.5, 29.9, 21.5; IR (KBr, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1564, 1442, 1311, 1145, 1123; HRMS *m*/z (ESI) calcd for  $C_{24}H_{27}O_2S$   $(M + H)^+$  379.1732, found 379.1726.

2-Benzyl-4-methyl-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3g): Yellow solid; mp 123−124 °C; yield 68% (140 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ  $= 8.17$  (s, 1H), 7.23–7.07 (m, 9H), 6.99 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.52 (s, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 143.1, 142.0, 140.2, 140.1, 138.4, 138.2, 137.7, 135.6, 135.5, 130.8, 129.0, 128.8, 128.3, 127.6, 127.3, 127.2, 126.0, 39.0, 21.6, 21.3; IR (KBr, $\nu,$ cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1455, 1302, 1155, 1121, 1085; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for  $C_{27}H_{25}O_2S$  (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 413.1570, found 413.1572.

2-Benzyl-4′-methyl-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3h): White solid; mp 153−154 °C; yield 79% (163 mg);  $^1\text{H NMR}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ = 8.30−8.33 (m, 1H), 7.49−7.37 (m, 2H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.17−7.11 (m, 4H), 7.03−7.00 (m, 2H), 6.94−6.91 (m, 2H), 6.85−6.83 (m, 2H), 6.61 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 142.2, 141.4, 140.1, 139.7, 139.2, 139.1, 137.4, 136.1, 133.8, 131.4, 129.3, 127.9, 127.3, 127.0, 126.7, 126.4, 125.7, 125.0, 37.9, 20.5, 20.3; IR (KBr, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1672, 1593, 1494, 1450, 1311, 1159, 1125; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for  $C_{27}H_{25}O_{2}S$  (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 413.1575, found 413.1570.

4′-Methyl-2-(4-methylbenzyl)-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3i): White solid; mp 151–152 °C; yield 87% (185 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  = 8.32–8.29 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.14−7.10 (m, 2H), 7.03−6.97 (m, 4H), 6.95−6.92 (m, 2H), 6.74 (d, J  $= 7.9$  Hz, 2H), 6.64–6.60 (m, 2H), 3.51 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 142.2, 141.7,

140.0, 139.6, 137.4, 136.1, 136.0, 134.6, 133.7, 131.5, 129.4, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.0, 126.7, 126.4, 125.6, 37.5, 20.6, 20.3, 20.0; IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) 1595, 1512, 1450, 1433, 1292, 1159, 1130; HRMS m/z (ESI) calcd for  $C_{28}H_{27}O_2S$   $(M + H)^+$  427.1732, found 427.1726.

2-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-4′-methyl-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3j): White solid; mp 120−121 °C; yield 78% (168 mg); <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)$   $\delta = 8.34-8.31$  (m, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.40−7.37 (m, 1H), 7.12−7.09 (m, 2H), 7.03−7.00 (m, 2H), 6.93− 6.91 (m, 2H), 6.88−6.81 (m, 2H), 6.78−6.73 (m, 2H), 6.58−6.55 (m, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 160.3 (d, J = 242.7 Hz), 142.3, 141.2, 140.1, 139.6, 137.3, 136.1, 134.7 (d, J = 3.1 Hz), 133.7, 131.3, 129.3, 129.2 (d, J = 7.9 Hz), 127.9, 127.0, 126.7, 126.5, 125.8, 114.0 (d, J = 21.1 Hz), 37.2, 20.5, 20.3; IR (KBr, *v*, cm<sup>−1</sup>) 1595, 1506, 1435, 1301, 1157, 1126; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for  $C_{27}H_{24}FO_2S(M + H)^+$  431.1481, found 431.1476.

2-Benzyl-4′-fluoro-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3k): White solid; mp 121−122 °C; yield 81% (169 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ = 8.36−8.33 (m, 1H), 7.54−7.44 (m, 2H), 7.16−7.08 (m, 7H), 6.81− 6.74 (m, 4H), 6.66–6.61 (m, 2H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 161.2 (d, J = 245.6 Hz), 142.5, 141.4, 139.8, 139.0, 138.7, 137.3, 134.2, 131.3 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 130.2 (d,  $J =$ 3.3 Hz), 128.1, 127.7, 127.3 126.9, 126.5, 125.9, 125.2, 113.3 (d, J = 21.3 Hz), 38.2, 20.6; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>−1</sup>) 1595, 1510, 1452, 1402, 1317, 1161, 1128; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>FO<sub>2</sub>S (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 417.1325, found 417.1319.

4′-Fluoro-2-(4-methylbenzyl)-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3l): White solid; mp 150−151 °C; yield 85% (183 mg); <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $(CDCl_3$ , 300 MHz)  $\delta$  = 8.34 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.14−7.04 (m, 4H), 6.98 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H) 6.70−6.63 (m, 4H), 3.51 (s, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H); 13C NMR  $(CDCl_3$ , 75 MHz)  $\delta = 161.2$  (d, J = 245.4 Hz), 142.5, 141.6, 139.7, 138.9, 137.3, 135.6, 134.7, 134.0, 131.3 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 130.3 (d,  $J =$ 3.5 Hz), 128.1, 128.0, 127.6, 126.8, 126.5, 125.8, 113.3 (d, J = 21.3 Hz), 37.7, 20.6, 20.0; IR (KBr, *v*, cm<sup>−1</sup>) 1595, 1512, 1435, 1311, 1298, 1157, 1130; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>24</sub>FO<sub>2</sub>S (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 431.1481, found 431.1476.

4′-Fluoro-2-(4-fluorobenzyl)-6-(4-tolylsulfonyl)biphenyl (3m): White solid; mp 159–160 °C; yield 90% (195 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  = 8.36 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.43 (m, 1H), 7.14−7.04 (m, 4H) 6.87−6.76 (m, 4H), 6.73−6.68 (m, 2H), 6.63−6.58 (m, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 161.2 (d, J = 245.7 Hz), 160.3 (d, J = 243.0 Hz), 142.6, 141.2, 139.9, 139.0, 137.2, 134.4 (d, J = 3.2 Hz), 134.1, 131.3 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 130.1 (d,  $J = 3.3$  Hz), 129.1 (d,  $J = 7.8$ Hz), 128.1, 127.0, 126.5, 126.1, 114.1 (d,  $J = 21.1$  Hz), 113.3 (d,  $J =$ 21.3 Hz), 37.5, 20.5; IR (KBr, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1595, 1508, 1436, 1303, 1155, 1128; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for  $C_{26}H_{21}F_2O_2S(M + H)^+$  435.1230, found 435.1225.

1-Benzyl-2-pentyl-3-(4-tolylsulfonyl)benzene (3n): Brown liquid; yield 70% (137 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 8.13–8.10 (m, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30−7.16 (m, 7H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (s, 2H), 2.83 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.25−1.14 (m, 6H), 0.83 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 143.9, 141.9, 141.3, 139.9, 139.8, 139.4, 136.0, 129.8, 128.9, 128.7, 128.3, 127.6, 126.5, 126.1, 38.1, 32.7, 30.2, 29.9, 22.5, 21.7, 14.1; IR  $(KBr, \nu, cm^{-1})$  1453, 1314, 1300, 1156, 1131, 1092; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for  $C_{25}H_{29}O_2S$   $(M + H)^+$  393.1888, found 393.1883.

1-Benzyl-2-cyclopropyl-3-(4-tolylsulfonyl)benzene (3o): Brown solid; mp 100−101 °C; yield 67% (121 mg) ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.95–7.92 (m, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.15  $(m, 7H)$ , 7.01 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.59– 1.52 (m, 1H), 0.92 (q, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 0.61 (q, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>CNMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 144.2, 143.7, 142.8, 140.7, 140.5, 139.1, 135.5, 129.4, 128.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.5, 126.4, 126.1, 39.4, 21.6, 12.7, 10.1; IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) 1497, 1445, 1298, 1151, 1148, 1123, 912; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 363.1419, found 363.1413.

2-Benzyl-6-(4-methylbenzoyl)biphenyl (5a): White solid; mp 97– 98 °C; yield 74% (134 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ = 7.52− 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.37−7.35 (m, 2H), 7.30−7.28 (m, 1H), 7.23−7.16 (m, 2H), 7.14−7.12 (m, 4H), 7.10−7.08 (m, 2H), 7.05−7.01 (m, 2H), 6.97−6.93 (m, 2H), 3.87 (s, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 197.3, 142.6, 139.8, 139.7, 139.0, 138.7, 137.2, 134.2, 130.5, 129.0, 128.9, 127.9, 127.7, 127.2, 126.7, 126.1, 126.0, 124.9, 124.5, 38.0, 20.6; IR (KBr, *v*, cm<sup>−1</sup>) 1666, 1602, 1494, 1440, 1311; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 363.1749, found 363.1743.

2-(4-Methylbenzyl)-6-(4-methylbenzoyl)biphenyl (5b): White solid; mp 127−128 °C; yield 75% (141 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  = 7.51 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.27  $(m_n, 1H)$ , 7.13–7.10  $(m, 4H)$ , 7.07–7.01  $(m, 5H)$ , 6.85  $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz})$ 2H), 3.82 (s, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 197.2, 142.4, 139.5, 138.8, 137.1, 136.7, 134.3, 134.2, 130.3, 128.9, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 126.6, 126.0, 125.9, 124.3, 37.4, 20.5, 19.9; IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) 1666, 1600, 1512, 1440, 1311; HRMS m/z (ESI) calcd for  $C_{28}H_{25}O(M + H)^+$  377.1905, found 377.1900.

2-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-6-(4-methylbenzoyl)biphenyl (5c): White solid; mp 126−127 °C; yield 85% (162 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  = 7.52–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.30 (m, 4H), 7.13–7.08 (m, 5H), 7.01−6.98 (m, 2H), 6.88−6.86 (m, 3H), 3.84 (s, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 197.2, 160.4 (d, J = 242.5 Hz), 142.7, 139.8, 139.0, 138.5, 137.1, 135.4 (d, J = 2.2 Hz), 134.2, 130.4, 129.2 (d, J = 7.7 Hz), 129.0, 128.9, 127.8, 126.7, 126.2, 124.7, 114.0  $(d, J = 21.1 \text{ Hz})$ , 37.3, 20.6; IR  $(KBr, \nu, \text{ cm}^{-1})$  1660, 1604, 1504, 1440, 1286; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>22</sub>FO (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 381.1655, found 381.1649.

2-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-6-(4-methylbenzoyl)biphenyl (5d): Yellow liquid; yield 73% (119 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 7.62 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27  $(d, J = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.17-7.07 \text{ (m, 7H)}, 2.41 \text{ (d, } J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 2.34$ (s, 3H), 0.85−0.78 (m, 1H), 0.47−0.41 (m, 2H), 0.04−0.01 (m, 2H); 13C NMR(75 MHz, CDCl3) <sup>δ</sup> = 198.5, 143.5, 140.9, 140.4, 139.5, 138.5, 135.3, 130.3, 130.0, 129.9, 128.7, 127.7, 127.0, 126.9, 125.1, 37.5, 21.7, 11.4, 4.9; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>−1</sup>) 1666, 1608, 1444, 1314, 1287, 1177; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 327.1749, found 327.1746.

6-Butyryl-2-benzylbiphenyl (5e): Yellow oil; yield 64% (101 mg); <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  = 7.37–7.34 (m, 6H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 5H), 6.93 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 2H), 2.17 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 1.42−1.35 (m, 2H), 0.68 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125) MHz) δ = 208.3, 143.2, 141.2, 139.9, 139.3, 139.2, 132.5, 130.3, 129.2, 128.7, 128.6, 128.0, 126.3, 125.4, 45.4, 39.5, 18.0, 13.9; IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) 1739, 1683, 1658, 1550, 1270; HRMS m/z (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{23}O(M + H)^+$  315.1749, found 315.1746.

2-Benzyl-4′-methyl-6-(4-chlorobenzoyl)biphenyl (5f): White solid; mp 104−105 °C; yield 79% (157 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ  $= 7.52$  (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.16 (m, 6H), 6.98−6.89 (m, 6H), 3.88 (s, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 197.7, 140.9, 140.2, 140.1, 140.0, 139.1, 137.0, 136.3, 135.0, 132.0, 131.2, 129.9, 129.0, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 127.2, 126.0, 125.6, 38.9, 21.2; IR (KBr, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1670, 1583, 1444, 1398, 1282; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>22</sub>ClO (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 397.1359 (<sup>35</sup>Cl), found 397.1354.

2-Benzyl-4′-methyl-6-(4-nitrobenzoyl)biphenyl (5g): Brown solid; mp 80−81 °C; yield 77% (157 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 8.06 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.43–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.29−7.13 (m, 3H), 6.97−6.84 (m, 6H), 3.90 (s, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) <sup>δ</sup> = 197.8, 149.6, 143.0, 140.6, 140.2, 140.1, 139.6, 137.3, 134.8, 132.8, 130.1, 130.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 127.5, 126.1, 126.0, 123.1, 38.8, 21.1; IR (KBr, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1677, 1600, 1516, 1491, 1343, 1280; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for  $C_{27}H_{22}NO_3$  (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 408.1600, found 408.1598.

2-(4-Methylbenzyl)-4′-methyl-6-(4-chlorobenzoyl)biphenyl (5h): White solid; mp 105−106 °C; yield 73% (150 mg); <sup>1</sup> H NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)$   $\delta$  = 7.52 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35–7.23 (m, 5H), 7.03 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.99−6.85 (m, 6H), 3.83 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 197.7, 140.2, 140.1, 140.0, 139.0, 137.8, 136.9, 136.2, 135.5, 135.0, 131.9, 131.1, 129.9, 129.0, 128.8, 128.6, 128.3, 127.1, 125.5, 38.4, 21.2, 21.0; IR (KBr, ν, cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ) 1668, 1583, 1514, 1284, 1168; HRMS m/z (ESI) calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>24</sub>ClO (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 411.1516 (<sup>35</sup>Cl), found 411.1510.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>2-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-4′-methyl-6-(4-chlorobenzoyl)biphenyl (5i): White solid; mp 98–99 °C; yield 75% (155 mg); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  = 7.51 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.30– 7.24 (m, 4H), 6.9−6.85 (m, 7H), 3.84 (s, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  = 197.6, 161.3 (d, J = 242.5 Hz), 140.2, 140.0, 139.8, 139.1, 137.0, 136.4 (d, J = 3.2 Hz), 136.1, 134.8, 131.8, 131.1, 130.2 (d, J = 7.8 Hz), 129.7, 128.6, 128.3, 127.2, 125.7, 115.0 (d, J = 21.0 Hz), 38.2, 21.2; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1664, 1583, 1508, 1435, 1398, 1284, 1220; HRMS  $m/z$  (ESI) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClFO (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 415.1265, found 415.1259.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b00308.

Copies of  ${}^{1}H$  NMR and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra (PDF) [X-ray data of](http://pubs.acs.org) 3a (CIF)

#### ■ AUTHOR INFOR[MATI](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.6b00308/suppl_file/jo6b00308_si_002.cif)ON

## Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: xiemh@mail.ahnu.edu.cn.

#### Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:xiemh@mail.ahnu.edu.cn) financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Zhuo Chai for his helpful discussion and to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20772001 and 21272004) for financial support of this work.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Xiao, L. X.; Chen, Z. J.; Qu, B.; Luo, J. X.; Kong, S.; Gong, Q. H.; Kido, J. J. Adv. Mater. 2011, 23, 926. (b) Inglis, A. J.; Sinnwell, S.; Davis, T. P.; Barner-Kowollik, C.; Stenzel, M. H. Macromolecules 2008, 41, 4120. (c) Smith, M. B.; March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry Reactions, Mechanisms and Structure, 6th ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2007; p 657. (d) Liu, J. K. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2209.

(2) (a) Poudel, T. N.; Lee, Y. R. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2050. (b) Zhou, F.; Simon, M. O.; Li, C. J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 7151. (c) Hassan, J.; Sévignon, M.; Gozzi, C.; Schulz, E.; Lemaire, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1359.

(3) (a) El Ezzi, M.; Lenk, R.; Madec, D.; Sotiropoulos, J. M.; Mallet-Ladeira, S.; Castel, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 805. (b) Joshi, P. R.; Undeela, S.; Reddy, D. D.; Singarapu, K. K.; Menon, R. S. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1449. (c) Chang, M. Y.; Wu, M. H.; Chan, C. K.; Lin, S. Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 6971. (d) Alba, A. N. R.; Companyó, X.; Rios, R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 2018. (e) Faucher, A. M.; White, P. W.; Brochu, C.; Grand-Maître, C.; Rancourt, J.; Fazal, G. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 18.

(4) (a) Wu, X. X.; Fors, B. P.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9943. (b) Al-Zoubi, R. M.; Hall, D. G. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2480. (c) Ritleng, V.; Sirlin, C.; Pfeffer, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1731.

(5) For selected examples on the synthesis of benzene derivatives through cycloaddition, see: (a) Saito, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2901. (b) Zhou, P.; Huang, L. B.; Jiang, H. F.; Wang, A. Z.; Li, X. W. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8279. (c) Galan, B. R.; Rovis, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2830. (d) Qiu, Z. Z.; Xie, Z. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5729. (e) Tsuji, H.; Yamagata, K. I.; Fujimoto, T.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7792.

(6) (a) Chang, M. Y.; Cheng, Y. C.; Lu, Y. J. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3142. (b) Poudel, T. N.; Lee, Y. R. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2050. (c) Karmakar, R.; Yun, S. Y.; Chen, J. J.; Xia, Y. Z.; Lee, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6582. (d) Shu, Z. C.; Zhu, J. B.; Liao, S. H.; Sun, X. L.; Tang, Y. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 284. (e) Yang, F.; Qiu, Y. F.; Ji, K. G.; Niu, Y. N.; Ali, S.; Liang, Y. M. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77,

9029. (f) Ballini, R.; Palmieri, A.; Barboni, L. Chem. Commun. 2008, 2975.

(7) (a) Uehling, M. R.; Rucker, R. P.; Lalic, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8799. (b) Xiang, J. N.; Yuan, R.; Wang, R. J.; Yi, N. N.; Lu, L. H.; Zou, H. X.; He, W. M. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 11378. (c) Wen, Y. M.; Jiang, H. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 4034. (d) Chelucci, G. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 1344. (e) Lin, Y. Y.; Wang, Y. J.; Lin, C. H.; Cheng, J. H.; Lee, C. F. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 6100. (f) Greenaway, R. L.; Campbell, C. D.; Chapman, H. A.; Anderson, E. A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 3187.

(8) (a) Zhu, C.; Ma, S. M. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1542. (b) Yalagala, R. S.; Yan, H. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 4830. (c) Kinoshita, H.; Takahashi, H.; Miura, K. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2962.

(9) (a) Pellissier, H. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 442. (b) Zeng, X. M. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 6864. (c) Mahendar, L.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Krishna, J.; Satyanarayana, G. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8566. (d) Gao, F.; Huang, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2422. (e) Gopi, E.; Namboothiri, I. N. N. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 7468.

(10) Xie, M. H.; Wang, J.; Fang, K.; Wang, S. K.; Yan, L. Q. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 4388.

(11) For a related study on  $6\pi$ -electrocyclization promoted by Lewis acid, see: Resende, D. I. S. P.; Guieu, S.; Oliva, C. G.; Silva, A. M. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 6585.